Amy Weber

770 River Road, Unit 15

Edgewater, NJ 07020

*In Propria Persona*

All Rights Reserved

without Prejudice

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

United States Of America,          )  **VERIFIED CRIMINAL COMPLAINT:**

 Plaintiff, )  18 U.S.C. 4, 241, 242, 371, v.                                 ) 1001, 1962

Judge Frances McGrogan,                )

Judicial employee SAMSIRI SOSTRE, )

Probation Officer LAURA S, )

KEITH J. YONOS, )

IRA KAPLAN, ESQ., )

MICHAEL DIAMOND, P.A., )

 Defendants, )

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/

COMES NOW Amy Weber, Citizen of New Jersey, Private Attorney General and qualified Federal Witness (hereinafter “Complainant”), appearing specially before a judge of the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4, to report and serve this, His VERIFIED CRIMINAL COMPLAINT against the following named individuals.

Complainant hereby formally charges:

Judge Frances McGROGAN, Judge GARY WILCOX, Judge TERRY PAUL BOTTINELI, Judge PETER MELCHIONNE, Judge PETER DOYNE, Judge BONIE MIZDOL, Judge KENNETH SLOMIENSKI, Judge LISA PEREZ-FRISCIA, SAMSIRI SOSTRE, ANTHONY D’URSO, RACHEL POLAN [CAFS], KEITH YONOS, IRA KAPLAN, Esq., LUIS PADIERNA [DCP&P] DANIELLE GONZALEZ [DCP&P, LUKE DRUMMOND [DCP&P] MARISOL NARANJO [DCP&P], NICOLE MILLER [DCP&P] JOANN TACKACS [DCP&P]for:

1. conspiring to conceal a felony in violation of 18 U.S.C. 4 (one count); and,
2. conspiring to injure, oppress, threaten or intimidate in violation of 18 U.S.C. 241 (one count); and,
3. depriving under color of law any rights, privileges or immunities secured and protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. 242; and,
4. conspiring with NEW JERSEY SUPERIOR COURT and the NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES to commit the above offense against the United States in order to defraud the United States, and acting to effect the object of the conspiracy, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 371 (one count);
5. knowingly and willfully using a false writing or document, knowing the same to contain a materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001 (one count);  see Exhibit A;
6. conspiring to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(d) (one count);  and,

**AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE**

On or about April 11, 2011 *A.D.*, Judge Frances McGrogan Ordered the complainant the pay and unlawful Title IV-D child support debt to KEITH YONOS with full knowledge that KEITH YONOS was not receiving Welfare or Medicaid Pursuant to Social Security Act Title 42 USC 655 & 42 USC 658.

The Order was illegally placed on complainant with intent to defraud the Federal Government of federal funds pursuant to Social Security Act Title 42 USC 655 & 42 USC 658.

A true and correct copy of said Order is attached as Exhibit “A” and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

Exhibit “A” clearly shows that a “Welfare/U.R.E.S.A.#” SECTION is left blank.

Exhibit “A” bears evidence of being a fraudulent document, on its face, because KEITH YONOS is not on Welfare or Medicaid.

A true and correct copy of KEITH YONOS income tax is attached as Exhibit “B” and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

Exhibit “B” appears to show that KEITH YONOS was working and received an income of $62,000 per year at the time of the Order.

A true and correct copy of “Child Support Guideline-Sole Parenting Worksheet” is attached as Exhibit “C” and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

Exhibit “C” on page two (2) shows that KEITH YONOS was granted “sole custody” prior to any trial and the SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY and Judge FRANCES McGROGAN failed to find the complainant “unfit” therefore clearly violating complainant’s parental, due process and equal protection rights guaranteed under the 14th Amendment.

A true and correct copy of Order to pay alimony is attached as Exhibit “D” and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

Exhibit “D” shows that Judge FRANCES McGROGAN of the SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY, Bergen Vicinage illegally attached a child support number to the complainants Alimony

Exhibit “D” is illegal because under Title IV-D of the Social Security Act the states cannot receive federal “incentives” or “expenditure” funds for the collection and maintenance of alimony.

Exhibit “D” clearly shows that Judge DAVID MORGAN, Probation Officer MARTY DERR, the SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY and the DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES conspired to illegally place child support numbers to alimony Orders to commit fraud against the United States.

In the year of 2011 Judge FRANCES McGROGAN ordered the complainant to be evaluated by Court Psychiatrist SAMSIRI SOSTRE with full knowledge that the plaintiff was not a risk to herself and is not or his child and no one on this planet.

The Risk Assessment was a planned scheme by Judge FRANCES McGROGAN to deprive the complainant of his parental rights by use of a false risk assessment.

A true and correct copy of said Risk Assessment is attached as Exhibit “E” and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

Exhibit “E” is a “Risk Assessment” performed by judicial employee appointed by the court and DCP&P Psychiatrist SAMSIRI SOSTRE. The Risk assessment is a fraudulent document because SAMIRI SOSTRE spent less than 30 minutes during he mental evaluation of Plaintiff WEBER who recorded her at all times and timed the interview which pretty much took place between het office hallway, and she she SAMSIRI SOATRE was waiting for DCP&P Caseworker Exhibit “E” further shows that ANGELA MERLO illegally performed a MMPI-2 test on the complainant. To perform the MMPI-2 one must be properly trained and be a licensed psychologist, neither took place.

Exhibit “E” further shows that ANGELA MERLO “hand” scored the MMPI-2 test. In 2001, the results of the MMPI-2 test had to be sent to Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota with a turnaround rate for the results in between three to six months, see attached in Exhibit “E”, the turnaround in the court is one month which shows that ANGELA MERLO hand scored the results which again shows that the documents is a fraud.

A true and correct copy of said Certifications of JOANNA VASSALLO is attached as Exhibit “F” and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

Exhibit “F” shows of twenty-two contradictory statements of the alleged incident on December 21, 1999.

Exhibit “F” shows that JOANNA VASSALLO on her eight certification now accuses the complainant of abduction, adultery and rape without any evidence to support her claim.

Exhibit “F” shows multiple counts of perjury by JOANNA VASSALLO with intent to deprive the complainant of his parental rights.

Judge DAVID MORGAN, ANGELA MERLO, MARTY DERR, JOANNA VASSALLO (f.k.a. DIPIETRO), MARY KAY TRACE AND MICHAEL DIAMOND conspired with the NEW JERSEY SUPERIOR COURT and the DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES to initiated an illegal action against complainant with the sole intent to defraud the federal government out of federal money under Title IV-D of the Social Security Act and to knowingly deprive the complainant of his parental rights

Complainant is a qualified Private Attorney General under 18 U.S.C. 1964 and a qualified Federal Witness under 18 U.S.C. 1512 AND 1513.

Complainant is required by 18 U.S.C. 4 to report all felony federal offenses that He witnesses to a judge of the United States.

Violations of the federal criminal statutes at 18 U.S.C. 371, 1001, 1962 are felonies.

The U.S. Constitution is the supreme Law of the Land.  See Supremacy Clause for constitutional authority.

In the case of Eisner v. Macomber, 252 U.S. 189 (1920), the U.S. Supreme Court held that the Congress of the United States cannot re‑define *any* terms found in the U.S. Constitution.  This decision has never been overturned.

At 28 U.S.C. 1861 *et seq.* is unconstitutional for expressly discriminating against the class of Americans known as Citizens of the United States of America. 28 U.S.C. 1865(b)(1).

For all of the reasons stated above, Plaintiff believes that sufficient probable cause exists to charge the above named individuals with the federal crimes enumerated above.

This concludes Complainant’s AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE in the above entitled matter, at the present time.

Complainant reserves His Fundamental Right to amend this VERIFIED CRIMINAL COMPLAINT, at times and places of His own choosing.

**REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION**

Complainant hereby invokes the federal criminal statute at 18 U.S.C. 1504, to wit:

Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit the communication of a request to appear before the grand jury.

Complainant specifically requests a formal investigation by a lawfully convened federal grand jury into the charges made herein.

**VERIFICATION**

The Undersigned hereby verifies, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the **United States of America**, without the “**United States**” (federal government), that the above statement of facts and laws is true and correct, according to the best of My information, knowledge, and belief, so help me God, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746(1).  See Supremacy Clause in the Constitution for the United States of America, as lawfully amended.

Dated:   January 11, 2016 A.D.

Signed:  /s/ Peter DiPietro

         \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Printed: Peter DiPietro, Complainant,

         Private Attorney General, and Federal Witness

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I, Peter DiPietro, *Sui Juris*, hereby certify, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the **United States of America**, without the “**United States**” (federal government), that I am at least 18 years of age, a Citizen of One of the United States of America, and that I personally served the following document(s):

**VERIFIED CRIMINAL COMPLAINT:**

18 U.S.C. 4, 241, 242, 371, 1001 1962

by hand delivery to the following:

Paul Fishman

U.S. Attorney's Office
970 Broad Street, 7th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

Federal Bureau of Investigations

11 Centre Pl

Newark, NJ 07102

Dated:   January 11, 2016 *A.D.*

Signed:  /s/ Peter DiPietro

         \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Printed: Peter DiPietro, Complainant,

         Private Attorney General, and Federal Witness

         All Rights Reserved without Prejudice

Exhibit “A”:

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY

BEFORE GRAND JURY

United States District Court

Central District of California

February 14, 2002

(true and correct photocopy)