On or about April 26th, 2010 our then DFYS now called DCP&P complaint was transferred from Judge Kenneth J. Slomieski to Judge James J. Guida who only heard us one day and he transferred the case to Judge Peter Melchionne right after that hearing. My attorney then was Judith Ball, Esq. We had an investigation opened with DCP&P regarding serious sexual and physical allegations that my son Keith-Alexander Yonos (K.A.Y.), then (5) years old, had made against his father. More sexual and physical allegations included his father's friend, who was also the visit supervisor, Rose Vega. The case-worker was Danielle Gonzalez. The case-worker Investigator was Luis Padierna. The DCP&P Attorney was Monique D'Errico. Mr. Keith Yonos’s attorney was Ira Kaplan, Esq.

Judge Peter Melchionne knew that Mr. Luis Padierna was facing legal family accusations and facing paternity issues, as well involved in a case of a friend accused of molesting minors. Judge Peter Melchionne was the presiding judge for Luis Padierna's personal case. Mark P McAuliffe Esq, who then became my attorney when I fired Judith Ball, was representing Luis Padierna. Judge Peter Melchionne should have never allowed case investigator Luis Padierna investigating my case when Mr. Padierna was facing serious legal issues and all the while, he was Padierna’s judge too. Witness Laura Emialia Ordaz, who had a son with Luis Padierna, and was appearing before Judge Melchionne demanding Luis Padierna be held accountable for failing their son, lying and being involved in a case of his best friend, Antonio Torres accused of molesting minors boys and girls.

My son Keith-Alexander Yonos was being treated per court order and on the recommendation of Audrey Hepburn Children's House (AHCH) by an expert qualified child abuse therapist under the age of five years old, Carol Los Calzos, LCSW. Ms. Los Calzos had reported to then DFYS now DCP&P that the child should not be under the supervision of Rose Vega with his father because the child had deteriorated since Ms. Vega had become the supervisor on the weekends and at his father’s home without overnight visits. Judge Peter J. Melchionne removed Carol Los Calzos from being Keith Alexander’s therapist after she was treating him for a period of 10 to 11 months. This was the request of Case Worker Danielle Gonzalez and Case investigator Luis Padierna and Ira Kaplan, Keith Yonos Attorney. The evidence was disregarded and my son was at risk.

The judge favored their request and then a therapist at the Audrey Hepburn Children’s House (AHCH) treated my son. His therapist was Ms. Kyongok C. Kim.

Judith Ball my then-attorney asked the judge to have Case Worker Danielle Gonzalez removed from my case since she was creating conflicts and misbehaving at my home when she came for the interviews of my son Keith-Alexander, myself and the nanny. Danielle Gonzalez was found by Judge Peter J. Melchionne to be sanctioned, however she was never removed from the case and never stopped her inappropriate behavior. Danielle Gonzalez came to my home and ran after my son when he did not want to speak to her; she locked him in a room with her alone, he was screaming to get out; and then Ms. Gonzalez would tell me she had power over my home. Ms. Gonzalez would punish my son K.A.Y. and say he was not allowed to watch TV for one week and told me if he did, she would remove him from my home.

Mr. Luis Padierna disappeared from the case and never appeared again in court and kept the case "under his investigation". Judge Peter Melchionne said for Mr. Luis Padierna to conclude the investigation on his own. Mr. Luis Padierna insisted he needed pick up my son at his pre-school and take him in his personal vehicle to be interviewed at Audrey Hepburn Children’s House.  I told Mr. Luis Padierna that he was discussing that in my home, and that I wanted to be in his vehicle with my son too. Mr. Luis Padierna told me I was unable to go and it was his job to pick up my son, then five years old, for about two to three hours by himself and drive him back and forth to Audrey Hepburn Children’s House (AHCH) alone because he was going to question my son as well and I could not be present.

I raised this concerned to my then-attorney Judith Ball and she said Mr. Luis Padierna was the case investigator at DCP&P (former DFYS) and I had no choice. I was very uncomfortable that this man, a stranger, would pick my son up at his pre-school and be out with him for 2 to 4 hours. No one listen to my motherhood protection complaint but Mr. Padierna used it against me as a "hysterical mother and over protective and difficult to deal with” just because I did not feel it was right for a male investigator, unknown to me, to pick up my son from pre-school alone and be gone with him for three hours just because he claimed he had "power over me" because he was a DCP&P investigator and in the end I had to submit.

Mr. Luis Padierna got very angry at me and asks me over the phone when I called him and Told him he was not allowed to pick my own up at the pre-school and be alone with my son. My ex-husband, Keith J Yonos called me up and insulted me and told me I was interfering with DCP&P investigation and to stay out or I was going to be "punished in court for not cooperating”.

Suddenly Mr. Luis Padierna did not pick my son up but on an unknown day, the case worker Danielle Gonzalez did. I was reported by the pre-school teacher, Mrs. Erica, that my son had a struggle and a break-down and did not want to go and they all were helping him to get in Ms. Danielle Gonzalez’s vehicle and that my son was hysterically crying.

My son had been disclosing to me and his live-in nanny, Margarita Lopez, since my ex-husband had been removed from the marital home by me (after the divorce too) that when he was spending time with his father, Mr. Yonos, that his father, Mr. Yonos was coming to my home when we were not home. I was extensively traveling with my job and usually when my son was at his father the live-in nanny would be off. We did not understand much of what my son was telling us; however many things from my home were missing and then re-appearing. For example: my teeth retainers that my son had told me not to look for them because they were at his father's bathroom. I sent my ex-husband an e-mail asking him if that was true because my teeth retainers were missing. Also, when my ex-husband left my home, he left on my bicycle --he had no car since he was driving my personal car and I had an employment company car.   
One day the bicycle appeared in the garage. I sent an email to him, how did he enter my home and how was that bicycle in the garage? He replied he had not entered my home.   
I had changed all door locks she my ex-husband moved out of my home. Also my book shelves is organized by books category. Many times they were disorganized, even the nanny Margarita and I were always wondering who was moving my books around. Margarita Lopez also mentioned to me that when she had to be the visitation supervisor of Mr. Keith J Yonos, she had seen many sheets and towels and other items from this home (including kitchen stuff), as well some of my mother and father’s pictures. It was odd because when he left my home, he only took his clothes from the guest bedroom and his desk and a few of his personal things, nothing from this home. Margarita said to me that she had a feeling that he was entering in my home.

I reported this matter to my then attorney Judith Ball, Esq. She felt we needed to do an emergency hearing OSC which we did on an afternoon on or about July 2010 to ask the judge for a restraining order against Mr. Keith J Yonos. .  We went to the court house and appeared before a woman judge. When we were about to be heard, Mr. Ira Kaplan showed up and said he was in the courtroom with a client and that he had “heard” we were going to discuss something before the judge and that he must represent his client, Mr. Keith J Yonos. The judge asked him if his client was aware of the OSC; Mr. Ira Kaplan said no but that Mr. Yonos was his client and that he needed to be present. Judith Ball, my then-attorney, argued with Mr. Ira Kaplan that if his client not retain him at that moment he had no business to be interfering with our OSC. Mr. Kaplan was arguing with the judge and the judge said he was allowed to stay in the court room but not to speak or interfere -- which Mr. Kaplan did not. He interrupted several times until the judge told him that he was to leave the chamber if he kept interfering.

I was granted a temporary restraining order against Mr. Keith J Yonos. I had clarified to my attorney Judith Ball and the judge knew because Mr. Ira Kaplan stated to the judge that his client was out-of-town on a business trip, which I confirmed. We were not saying Mr. Keith J. Yonos had entered my home that week but that he had been entering since he had moved out approximately four years earlier. Later on, this was used against us during the trial before Judge Melchionne, when Kaplan and Yonos turned the story around providing Judge Melchionne his traveling airplane tickets. We had clearly said that for four years, not that specific week while I knew he was on business travel.

On or about summer 2010, I had a trial to obtain a final restraining order against Mr. Yonos since the earlier judge had already granted a temporary one on that OSC. That trial was a five days. Judge Melchionne had evidence, i.e. a cloth tie that Mr. Keith Yonos almost killed me with; he had ripped it with his teeth causing Mr. Yonos to lose one of his veneer tooth.

Judith Ball (during the trial) suddenly slowed down. I caught her several times walking in the court house with Ira Kaplan. I told Judith Ball that I had felt she had changed; that she had softened up on arguing my case for my final TRO; and why was she engaging with Ira Kaplan to please stop doing that. She said that as attorneys, they ended up together and discuss at the judge's chamber. I said I had seen her less aggressive and letting a lot of Kaplan argue. She actually did not cross-examine Mr. Keith Yonos and she said that when she was cross-examining him, she balked and she asked the judge for a reschedule. She never finished cross-examining him.

There were police reports: a wall painting my ex-husband broke on my head, and pictures of Mr. Yonos siting at my home outside, taking numerous pictures of him violating Judge Slomienski’s court order of CURBSIDE pick-up and drop-off on or about September 2009. Mr. Yonos said he was unaware of any such court order and he was trespassing into my home because he was probably looking at a butterfly. The final TRO denied.

In late November 2013 my ex-husband, Keith John Yonos, submitted a motion to request modification of matrimonial divorce decree -- since Judge Frances Mc Grogan granted my ex-husband sole custody in February 2013 due to a Children Protection Services custody battle (in which I have a gag order). My ex-husband put in a motion before Judge Peter Melchionne requesting Change of Matrimonial Agreement-child dependent/ Relinquish Bank Status/ Auto, Title, Vin, and License Plate Number. This motion was to be heard on December 20, 2013. My ex-husband failed to serve my attorney, Mario Blanch. I called the court chamber of Honorable Peter Melchionne on December 19, 2013 and I was informed that the hearing was to take place on January 17th, 2014. I sent e-mails to my ex-husband and sent copy to my attorney that my ex-husband needed to send the motion to my lawyer and my ex-husband remained silent. No response. Surprisingly, I received in the mail, January 13, 2014, a court order where the judge granted my ex-husband his motion request. I was not present in court so I do not know how this happened. This is typical of Bergen County Family court abuse. Judge Peter Melchionne entered an ex parte order on December 20th, 2013 and his clerk Ms. Marcelle Nicole lied to me and I have it as evidence in audio recording. Top of Form

Bottom of Form

Another ex parte was entered on January 17th, 2014 by Judge Frances McGrogan regarding the judgment of Mr. Kaplan's attorney fees without providing a bill and without me being notified that there was another motion entered.

On or about March 25th, 2014 I appeared before Judge Peter Melchionne being represented by an attorney, Michelle P Adams, to request visitation with my son Keith-Alexander and start reunification. I hired Michelle Adams on or about first week of February 2014. Ms. Adams sold herself as a lawyer that knew the "ins and out" of the system dealing with the Division Children and Family DCF (former DFY). Ms. Adams told me she was a former Public Defender for DCF--former DFYS. Ms. Michelle P Adams was provided with copy of the final court order entered by Honorable Judge Frances McGrogan February 26th, 2013. Michelle P Adams was fully aware of the obstacles I was facing with that specific Judge court order that were difficult to accomplish:

1- I needed to be treated by psychiatry for a period of 6 months and provide the psychiatrist with the "previous Psychiatrists evaluations conducted by state Psychiatrists and Evaluators." 2- Ms. Adams knew that I had gone to several psychiatrists and after three to five treatment sessions, my treatment was terminated due to the fact they found NO mental illness in me. 3- Ms. Adams was aware I had attended therapies for over 9 months with my therapist that had treated me since my DFYS case opened July 2009. 4-Ms. Adams said she "knew DCF—(former DFYS) make up stories and twist everything around” and she was able to help me since she believed my son was in danger in the hands of my ex-husband (after she reviewed my case documents and spoke with Mario Blanch Esq- the current appeal attorney). Ms. Adams advised me to start being treated with another Psychiatrist again and she recommended Jersey City Behavioral Center (I followed her advice and provided her with five psychiatry sessions and again, terminated because no treatment needed). Ms. Adams was to put in a motion to request visitations. Ms. Adams said she knew what she was "dealing with" referring to DCF (former DFYS).

Judge Peter Melchionne denied the request for me to start visitation with my son and stated that those psychiatrist and psychological evaluations were not satisfactory and he had not even heard them. Judge Peter Melchionne said my current son's therapist had reported that my son had said he did not want to see his mother nor know anything about his mother. I asked the name of the therapist that was treating my son and Judge Peter Melchionne could not even provide the name and had to ask Mr. Yonos attorney Ira Kaplan.

Judge Peter Melchionne told my then attorney Michelle Adams for me to shut down my Painful Silence website and the Facebook page immediately. Also to stop Safe Kids International calling Judge’s chamber as they had received over 300 phone calls of people demanding for me to see my son and he was not to be intimidated by any international Facebook page. At the end of the hearing Judge Peter Melchionne told Michelle Adams to go and meet privately with his clerk Marcelle Nicole -who had lied to me regarding those two ex parte orders.   
Michelle Adams told me to wait in the hall way and she went to meet with the judge and clerk in his chamber.

Suddenly Ms. Michelle Adams behavior changed toward my case. She informed me the following:

1 - I was never going to win the appeal that my attorney Mario Blanch was working on--which is due on May 14th, 2014. Ms. Adams told me she had talked to DCF (former DFYS-) and they told her “I wanted to continue to fight my case and I was not going to win it.”  
2 – Ms. Adams told me “Judge Peter Melchionne's eyes are on you and so is Ira Kaplan’s and they will do everything to skew your case. You need to isolate yourself, shut that Facebook page Painful Silence down and website too, and do not talk to those mothers that lost their children too and focus on how to get yours back and be silent as you were before. You are creating too much noise and you will never see your son again if you keep up making noise. The judges don't like that.”

3 - Ms Adams told me Judge Melchionne wanted me to come back to him with a psychiatrist evaluation stating that I am suffering from some mental disorder or I would not see my son ever again.   
4 - Ms. Adams said she was informed that DCF (former DFYS) had their eyes on my ex-husband but then turned on me because "I was rolling my eyes in court and I was difficult to deal with because I wanted to fight the system".

5 - Ms. Adams told me I needed to do exactly what she said in order for me to see my son again and start visitations. Ms. Adams said that having advocates on my case was okay at first, but then she called me days later and said she thought that the Safe Kids International Court Watch was going to hurt my case. I informed her my case was already fraudulent and I had hired her to fight for justice and to get me my son back or at least, to start visitations.

March 25th, 2014, we lost our hearing and the judge did not grant me visitations. The judge said the court order was not followed properly. Ms. Adams had the court order and has read it and she knew that I was unable to obtain the "second state Psychiatry evaluation that diagnosed me "delusional" because I had gone physically to Newark trying to obtain it and I was told the case was closed and they were not providing me with any documents. Michelle Adams told me over the phone on May 2, 2104 that the only way I was going to see my son again was if I admitted that I was delusional and I made false allegations against my ex-husband regarding my son's disclosing to the nanny, myself, the police, the trauma therapist, Audrey Hepburn Children's House evaluators, the pediatrician, as well as DCF (former DFYS) Case Workers that his father had molested him. I informed Ms. Adams I was not pleading guilty to something I did not do. Ms. Adams told me I would never see my son again if I did not do what she said. She also mentioned to me after court on April 25th, 2014 that I should ask the doctor to medicate me and diagnose me with something she did not care what, but that the judge needed to hear and read a report stating that "I was sorry for making false allegations", else he (the judge) would not grant me visitations.

She also told me not to speak about God and the Bible to anyone when dealing with my case, to use the word "meditate." I told Ms. Adams I was not willing to follow that advice. She further told me that I needed to inform, "Safe kids International to immediately to remove from their Facebook page “The Court Watch” and anything related to this judge and my case. I told Ms. Adams that I had requested to Safe Kids International to remove it, but they said once they are aware of a sexual abuse case being covered up and a child was in danger, they will not remove it until the child was safe and not in the hands of the abuser. Ms. Adams told me I was not going to see my son if I did not have everything regarding my case off the Internet. I informed her that I had shared my case before I was gagged in February 26, 2013 and I had no control over it at this point. Michelle Adams screamed at me "you are a BAD MOTHER." I said I was not going to work with her because she was not the same person I had hired to defend my case. I had noticed a change in her behavior toward my case, that she was acting unprofessional and forcing me to do things against my will and now calling me a "bad mother”, because I had shared my case with family and friends and advocates that have been trying to help me and my son out of this terrible situation when I was not gagged from 2009 thru February 26, 2013. Ms. Adams tried to intimidate me by telling me I had violated the New Jersey Law by allowing Safe Kids International expose my case online and DCF (former DFYS) was not going to allow me see my son again. Ms. Adams told me I needed to play the game or she would not represent me as an attorney. I informed her I was not playing a "game" to cover up injustice and then it was going to backfire on me with a sanction up to $200,000.00 for "making false allegations" and possible facing prison time. I asked Ms. Adams why her behavior had changed toward my case and she was so inclined now in protecting DCF (former DFYS) and not me: her client. Ms. Adams screamed again at me (on the phone) and said it was none of my business. Ms. Adams told me that I was not able to be a Pro-Se litigant because I have a gag order and the only way I can go before the judge to request visitations in December 2014 is if I am "only represented by an attorney because DCF (former DFYS) was NOT releasing any documents to me directly and/or any therapists or medical doctors. I said I have the rights to see my records but she said "No, you need a lawyer or you are not seeing your son until he is 18 years old” --my son is presently 9 years old. She said "your son is going to hate you for exposing the sexual abuse to the public and that is something private and pertains to his personal life, not yours.”

I told Ms. Adams I was not going to follow her advice and it was best to terminate our client-lawyer relationship. I received an e-mail from Ms. Michelle P Adams right after our phone conversation where she states she is terminating her services as "the client insist upon taking actions with which the lawyer has a fundamental disagreement."  I have found out that Ms. Michelle Adams worked as a Public Defender for DCF (the Division of Children and Family). I am asking that Ms. Adams current attorney bill be annulled.

I filed three motions on June 20th, 2014

1- vacate/quash those two ex parte orders from Judge Peter Melchionne and Judge Frances McGrogan, regarding that judgment of $30K and also requesting Mr. Ira Kaplan be dismissed from this case for conflict of interest and professional unethical behaviors throughout the time he has been representing his client, Mr. Keith Yonos. This motion it is still pending and Judge Peter Melchionne said he was not hearing it and he re-scheduled it for July 11th 2014 - but without hearing it, entered and ordered denying vacating those two ex parte orders and it is not correct. He never heard the motion and Mr. Kaplan dismissal was not even argued. How could he order something when he rescheduled that motion and it is still pending and no judges want to hear it in Bergen County right now?

2- Motion to demand an updated bill for my son summer camp and for me to participate in his enrollment and know what classes he was taking – it was denied.  
3- Child support review and reduction and a review of Mr. Keith Yonos actual finances to adjust child support properly - this was denied.

I filed a Motion regarding June 27th, 2014 pending motion to Vacate/quash ex parte orders entered on December 20th, 2013 and January 17th, 2014 plus the dismissal of Ira Kaplan Esq, as attorney Mr. Keith John Yonos from this case.

I filed a Motion on June 13th, 2014 in Bergen County Court House located on 10 Main street Hackensack NJ. The financial clerk dated that motion to be heard on June 20th, 2014. I also filed a motion regarding 2014 Summer Camp for minor son, Keith-Alexander Yonos on the same date to be heard also on June 20th, 2014. Another motion regarding review of child support and requesting to decrease it filed on June 13th, 2014 to be heard on June 20th, 2014 by financial clerk  
.  
Judge Clerk Theresa (Terry) was forced to give many reschedules of these three motions due to the fact that my ex-husband's attorney Mr. Ira Kaplan had requested reschedules in order to respond to his motions, which he did over the phone (conversation recorded and available; evidence that all three motions were to be heard on June 27th, 2014). On June 27th, 2014 I was prepared to present and argue those three motions and Mr. Ira Kaplan’s cross motions.

Judge Peter Melchionne stated that he was not hearing the motion regarding the VACATE/Quash and dismissal of Mr. Ira Kaplan on that day. I asked the judge the specific reason. He said he was rescheduling it for July 11th, 2014 and told his clerk to put it in the calendar. Judge Peter Melchionne said Mr. Ira Kaplan had not responded to that specific motion. I told the judge he had responded via regular mail and e-mail and he was provided it to his clerk. Still Judge Peter Melchionne said he was not entertaining it that day. Mr. Ira Kaplan did not defend or argue he remain silent. Judge Peter Melchionne denied the review and decrease of child support and my request to review of Keith John Yonos’ current financial status. Judge Peter Melchionne denied plaintiff viewing the bill of the "summer camp" that my son was attending but to pay the amount my ex-husband was demanding --without a factual and updated bill, I was been forced to pay $1,300. On or about July 7th or 8th, 2014 I called Judge Peter Melchionne's chamber to confirm the time of the hearing dated on July 11th, 2014. I spoke with Theresa (Terry) and she was told there was no hearing because Judge Peter Melchionne was on vacation. I asked when was that motion going to be heard. Theresa (Terry) said July 25th, 2014. Judge Perter J. Melchionne recused himself from my case.

On August 27th, 2014 hearing when I appeared before Judge Frances McGrogan to request for visitation with my appeal attorney Mario Blanch, at that time, Ira Kaplan asked Judge McGrogan to reinforce the judgment and to hear that motion pending of June 27th, 2014 and she denied to hear it and sent it back to Judge Peter Melchionne.

To my understanding Judge Peter Melchionne does not want to hear any of my motions and he is in the process of retiring.