Amy Weber

452 B North 8th Street

Fairview, NJ 07022

May 13, 2015

Presiding Judge Peter Doyne

10 Main Street Room 163

Hackensack NJ 076701

Re: Judge Terry Paul Bottinelli -Retaliation

**Via: regular mail, certified mail and electronic mail**

Judge Doyne:

The reason for this letter is to inform you that Bergen County Court House Judges continue to be an obstruction in allowing me to reunite with my son. As you are aware I am a Pro Se Plaintiff in a Federal Lawsuit I filed last November 24th, 2014 in which you are one of the defendants.

My minor son Keith-Alexander Yonos was unjustly removed form me by DCP&P f/n/a DFYS after Judge Frances McGrogan had ruled and court order on February 2011 that my ex husband was to have supervised visitations and my son was to remain under my residential custody without prejudice. I took this matter to a trial and fought my case back since I know my son never lied I am reported what all the sex abuse and physical abuse and all my domestic violence and I never saw justice in your courthouse. I lost my trial an 11 day trial that went into 22 months –on purpose to financially destroy me, mentally and physically.

Judge Frances McGrogan indicted in her court order the requirements for me to be able to reunite with my son. I completed those requirements, I filed a motion and went before Judge Peter Melchionne who denied my request for reunification but instead he used my hearing to threatened me. Intimidate me and demand for me to shut my Website and Facebook Page Painful Silence. Judge Peter Melchionne also said that one of the reasons he was not allowing me for reunification was that my son had said he did not wanted to see me his mother and I asked Judge Melchionne to provide me with evidence my son the nine years old had stated that, Judge Melchionne told me my son’s therapist informed him that when I asked Judge Melchionne the name of my son’s therapist he did not know the name and had no letter nor a report form my son’s therapist during the hearing.

I would like to terminate our legal relationship and attorney-client contract since I have decided to be a Pro Per litigant regarding reunification matter. I appreciate the long hours and hard work that has gone into this extensive custody case. As with many custody cases, they are long and drawn out and very costly. When I retained your counsel, I paid about $2,000.00 to retain you for the appeal and take over my entire case.

You have been my attorney for more than two years and to date and still have seen little progress. I have called the office many times to speak to you and today I was present at your office to speak to you, instead I spoke with your wife Ms. Valery.

I must say that I am very disappointed on how you handled the hearing before Judge Terry Paul Bottinelli on our last hearing April 10th, 2015 [four year anniversary that my was removed Bergen County Judges decided to hear my case after four (4) months delay with excuses of changing my docket number and you told me you were not raising this matter to Bergen County Courthouse because you di not wanted to antagonize the Courthouse but this delayed causing me tremendous harm since the adversary lied and conspired against my reunification with my son You told me al you needed was to be before a Judge and you were going to ‘argue’ m case and you said nothing during the hearing but tried to convicted me that Judge Bottinelli was ‘helping me’ when in fact there is no definition of what Judge Frances McGrogan states in her court order s ‘meaningful’ and Judge Bottinelli mockery toward me reading my Federal law suit was very disrespectful.

The situation with the demand of the appellate Division requesting where I obtained the $17,000.00 dollars to pay for the transcripts [outrageous amount of money I had to borrow] and my brothers bank account Ariel Weber that I was joining was Levy with two fraudulent ex-partes entered by Bergen County Judges Frances McGrogan and Peter Melchionne. I had instructed your wife Valery not to provide the bank account number to my ex husband Mr. Keith Yonos since that was not my bank account only and I had a feeling Ira Kaplan was behind this to collect money [fraud] that it was a set up and I was correct. You were my representing attorney regarding this matter then suddenly you dropped for representing me regarding the court harassment and wiping me off financially.

Due to my desire to protect my child and his current home life, I felt it necessary to fight more aggressively and fight for my desires. If you could be so kind, please send a copy of my file to my address, since I will be a pro se litigant as I mentioned above, I have the right to have my documents. I do hope that you can do what you promised regarding arguing my appeal aggressively to win it since I am very disappointed at this point.

*Pursuant to NJ Rule 4:10-3. Protective Orders*

*On motion by a party or by the person from whom discovery is sought, the court, for good cause shown or by stipulation of the parties, may make any order that justice requires to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, including, but not limited to, one or more of the following:*

*(a) That the discovery not be had;*

*(b) That the discovery may be had only on specified terms and conditions, including a designation of the time or place;*

*(c) That the discovery may be had only by a method of discovery other than that selected by the party seeking discovery;*

*(d) That certain matters not be inquired into, or that the scope of the discovery be limited to certain matters;*

*(e) That discovery be conducted with no one present except persons designated by the court;*

*(f) That a deposition after being sealed be opened only by order of the court;*

*(g) That a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be disclosed or be disclosed only in a designated way;*

*(h) That the parties simultaneously file specified documents or information enclosed in sealed envelopes to be opened as directed by the court.*

*If the motion for a protective order is denied in whole or in part, the court may, on such terms and conditions as are just, order that any party or person provide or permit discovery. The provisions of R. 4:23-1(c) apply to the award of expenses incurred in relation to the motion.*

*When a protective order has been entered pursuant to this rule, either by stipulation of the parties or after a finding of good cause, a non-party may, on a proper showing pursuant to R. 4:33-1 or R. 4:33-2, intervene for the purpose of challenging the protective order on the ground that there is no good cause for the continuation of the order or portions thereof. Neither vacation nor modification of the protective order, however, establishes a public right of access to unfiled discovery materials*

The protective order issued by Honorable Frances McGorgan Court dated August 26th, 2014 does not states that I, Amy Weber, cannot obtain my case records, it does state that the documents are to be released to the parties and/or their attorneys.

This protective order prohibits Plaintiff to disclose these documents without court approval [I am not the Plaintiff but Defendant] ; however I am still filing a Writ Mandamus this week pleading to obtain my case file documents record and to enter them into evidence to my Federal Lawsuit Docket. I’ve asked you several times who is the appeal assigned Judge and we still do not know and I need all correspondence regarding the adversary and why they filed late and what was your response. I am taking the delays of my appeal to Federal court since DVP&P f/n/a DFYS –STATE actors, continues to obstruct my case and obstructing me to obtain justice.

I will also requesting from you, any and all information for my appeal, including but not limited to date of filing, docket number, answer from defendants and evidence related and entered into the appeal.

I would like to be provided with a copy of all and any letters and/or communications you had with my former attorney Mark P. McAuliffe, Esq. and Michael Lamolino. Esq. Public Defender since they both were to have access to my file case documents records.

Sincerely,

Amy Weber

cc. United States District Court for the District of New Jersey Honorable Judge Cecilia Cecchi