

ATTORNEY ETHICS GRIEVANCE FORM

Please Type Or Print Legibly All Information

A. GRIEVANT: Mr./Mrs./Miss./Ms. (Circle One)
 Ordaz Moreno Laura Emilia

LAST NAME FIRST MIDDLE
 P.O. Box 155

ADDRESS STREET/P.O. BOX
 Vernon NJ 07462 Sussex

CITY STATE ZIP COUNTY

TELEPHONE: DAY (973) 823-0144 EVENING (973) 862-3685

B. THE SPECIFIC LAWYER YOU ARE COMPLAINING ABOUT IS:
 Sauter Amy L

LAST NAME (INCLUDE SR., JR., III, ETC.) FIRST MIDDLE
 286 Union Street

OFFICE ADDRESS STREET/P.O. BOX
 Hackensack NJ 07601 Bergen

CITY STATE ZIP COUNTY

- (1) IS THE SPECIFIC LAWYER COMPLAINED ABOUT YOUR LAWYER? ___ YES NO
- (2) IF SO, DOES THIS LAWYER STILL REPRESENT YOU? ___ YES NO
- (3) IF NOT, DO YOU HAVE A NEW LAWYER? ___ YES NO
- (4) IF SO, WHO IS YOUR NEW LAWYER? _____

C. THE TYPE OF CASE HANDLED BY THE LAWYER WAS: (CHECK ONE)

<input type="checkbox"/> Admiral/Maritime	<input type="checkbox"/> (V)	<input type="checkbox"/> International Law	<input type="checkbox"/> (I)
<input type="checkbox"/> Adoption/Name Change	<input type="checkbox"/> (A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile Delinquency	<input type="checkbox"/> (J)
<input type="checkbox"/> Bankruptcy/Insolvency/Foreclosure	<input type="checkbox"/> (B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Labor	<input type="checkbox"/> (L)
<input type="checkbox"/> Collection	<input type="checkbox"/> (H)	<input type="checkbox"/> Landlord/Tenant	<input type="checkbox"/> (Q)
<input type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> (K)	<input type="checkbox"/> Negligence (Personal Injury)	<input type="checkbox"/> (N)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Property Damage	
<input type="checkbox"/> Corporation/Partnership Law	<input type="checkbox"/> (X)	<input type="checkbox"/> Patent/Trademark/Copyright	<input type="checkbox"/> (P)
<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal, Quasi-Criminal and Municipal Court	<input type="checkbox"/> (C)	<input type="checkbox"/> Real Estate	<input type="checkbox"/> (R)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic Relations (Divorce, Support, Custody)	<input type="checkbox"/> (D)	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Claims Court	<input type="checkbox"/> (S)
<input type="checkbox"/> Estate/Probate	<input type="checkbox"/> (E)	<input type="checkbox"/> Tax	<input type="checkbox"/> (T)
<input type="checkbox"/> Federal Remedies/Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> (F)	<input type="checkbox"/> Workers' Compensation	<input type="checkbox"/> (W)
<input type="checkbox"/> Government Agency Problems (Local thru Federal)	<input type="checkbox"/> (G)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Litigation (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> (Y)
<input type="checkbox"/> Immigration/Naturalization	<input type="checkbox"/> (M)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Non-Litigation (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> (Z)

IS THE CASE HANDLED BY THE LAWYER STILL PENDING? YES NO

(This Section for Secretary's Use Only)

DOCKET NUMBER _____ DATE DOCKETED _____

**** COMPLETE BOTH SIDES ****

D. OTHER RELATED COMPLAINTS OR LITIGATION:

(1) Have you filed a complaint regarding this matter with law enforcement authorities or any other state or federal agency? YES NO If yes, please state:

Name of Agency: _____

Contact Person: _____ Date Filed: _____

Result: _____

(2) Is the matter you are complaining about the subject of a pending civil law suit? YES NO
If yes, give name of Court _____

Docket Number: _____ County: _____

E. NATURE OF GRIEVANCE:

State what the lawyer did or failed to do which may be unethical. State all relevant FACTS including dates, times, places and names and addresses of important witnesses. Attach copies of important letters and documents.

I have been a pro se litigant for almost four (4) years in a custody case. My daughter's father had a total of five (5) lawyers. Ms. Amy L. Sauter is his current lawyer, representing him since September 2012.

I was unable to hire a lawyer due to economic situations; i tried to obtain help from Legal Services but I do not qualify. I was referred to a non-profit organization, Mental Health Law Project. This organization accepted me and Ms. Abeer Abu Judeh was appointed to my case, since April 2013; been represented by this organization turned to be a nightmare. Ms. Sauter alleged I had mental issue, using it against me.

(Use Additional Sheets if Necessary)

F. INVESTIGATIVE CONFIDENTIALITY

The Supreme Court of New Jersey has held that persons who file grievances "may speak publicly regarding the fact that a grievance was filed, the content of that grievance, and the result of the process." Since disciplinary officials are required by *Rule 1:20-9(h)* to maintain the confidentiality of the investigation process and may neither speak about the case nor release any documents, until and unless a formal complaint is issued and served, you must also keep confidential any documents you may receive during the course of the investigation of your grievance.

To protect the integrity of the investigation process, we recommend that you, as well as all witnesses, not speak about the case other than to disciplinary officials while the matter is under investigation. So long as you maintain the confidentiality of the investigation process, you have immunity from suit for anything you say or write to disciplinary officials. However, the Supreme Court has stated that you "are not immune for statements made outside the context of a disciplinary matter, such as to the media or in another public forum." *R.M. v. Supreme Court of New Jersey, 185 N.J. 208 (2005)*.

Date: 08/27/2013

Signature

PLEASE REVIEW THE PAMPHLET "INFORMATION ABOUT GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES AND DISCIPLINE OF LAWYERS" PROVIDED BY THE ETHICS SECRETARY.



PLEASE NOTIFY DISTRICT SECRETARY OF DISABILITY ACCOMMODATION NEEDS.

I have always be respectful to Mr. Pecoraro's multiple lawyers, I do believe that Mr. Pecoraro's lawyers were not to be consider responsible for their client's false statements or any other action Mr. Pecoraro has done and is only responsible. I have tried to cooperate as much as I can, even though the lawyers are protecting him and advocating for him when he is wrong, I have provided my personal e-mail to Ms. Amy Sauter, Mr. Pecoraro's current lawyer to facilitate communications between Ms. Sauter and me. No body obligated me, nor Ms. Sauter requested it, I offered it to her.

Ms. Sauter abused of my disposition to the point of making completely false allegations against me to the Judge presiding our trial, in several occasions I just said that the statement was not true, but I got to the point that Ms. Sauter's statements were dishonest.

Ms. Sauter statements deceived the court in different manners. Either verbally, and/or in writing Ms. Sauter made false accusations against me in every aspect. And I am aware of the protection lawyers have while protecting their clients, and that their obligation is to advocate for their clients, but that should not be an excuse for Ms. Sauter's lies on her own statements, especially when I had not lawyer.

Later I got help from a non-profit organization in that county, and my lawyer never provided me copies of the communications between her and Ms. Sauter, after I got my file I become conscious of more falsehoods Ms. Sauter said to the Judge in her letters.

I am including couple letters Ms. Sauter sent to the Judge with an explanation on why I am sending them to you, some other statements are just on the record, but I will try to provide exact dates with description of them. I do not have the money to get all the transcripts.

On Ms. Sauter's brief dated November 7, 2012, which I am including some copies, there are several false statements; this brief contains her own evidence contradicting her statements; evidence provided to the court by Ms. Sauter and me.

Ms. Sauter's obligation was to investigate, obtain past documents, transcripts, testimonies, and confirm all statements made by her client, before accusing me, embarrassing me, and diminishing me in front of the court.

What I believe is most disturbing, is that I told the court in several occasions about these false statements, I even confronted Ms. Sauter with the evidence of her lies in front

the Judge and this Judge did nothing about it. Contrary to that, the Judge told Ms. Sauter how much he likes her and appreciates her.

From the brief dated November 7, 2012, submitted to the court by Ms. Sauter, his client's testimony, the answers to the interrogatories, which Ms. Sauter claimed were done with her help, to the closing arguments, Ms. Sauter made false statements.

Since October 22, 2012, I have been requesting to the court to provided me with a copy of a report which was crucial for my case, made on August 2012 by DCPD; to this day I do not have or reviewed that report. On April 12, 2013, Ms. Sauter and I were before the presiding Judge, and I requested again to be provided with this report. Ms. Sauter told the Judge "this report is a fabrication of Ms. Ordaz Moreno to delay the trail". In that same moment I asked Ms. Sauter if she had any knowledge of that report, Ms. Sauter stated no, I asked again if Ms. Sauter contacted DCPD about the report, again she responded no, I retrieved a letter sent by Ms. Sauter to the court and me, dated September 6, 2012; showing something completely different. I am including a letter of the same.

I received help from a non-profit organization lawyer, Mental Health Law Project of Bergen County. I qualified for my low income Ms. Sauter tried to instigate the Judge to believe, that the reason I was getting help from this organization was because I had a mental issue.

Ms. Sauter sent a letter to Judge Bachmann, dated May 3, 2013. Where she mentions she knows I have a mental health issues. Which is a very serious and false statement. I have requested an explanation from Ms. Sauter; I have not received one yet.

On several communications with the Judge, Ms. Sauter mentions my "past history and behavior" and is alluding to terminate my visitations with my daughter that was remove from my side in a very traumatic and painful way, and is the reason we are in this trial.

Ms. Sauter on her closing arguments stated that I was teaching my daughter to be sick. No evaluations were performed, no evidence was provided to the court.

Ms. Sauter told the court that I play a game with my daughter called "sick baby". And Ms. Sauter also told the court that in the interview with the Judge, my daughter testified about this "sick baby" game.

8. Ms. Sauter opposed on me having the monitor testifying, but instigates the court to believe this monitor wants to transform the visitations into therapeutic visit for my "disturbing behavior".
9. On letter dated June 13, 2013. Mentions that my daughter had past suicidal ideations while living with me.
10. Ms. Sauter disclosed my personal e-mail to a person without my consent or any notification, which I consider a violation of my privacy.

Ms. Sauter's accusations, false statements, and misleading allegations; are not just based on what her client notified her. They are made by Ms. Sauter herself.

Based on all of the statement made above by me, and that can be verified with documents and court recordings. I am very respectfully asking to have a full investigation, remove Ms. Sauter from my case, and find her guilty of acts of gross misconduct, that were intentional, malicious, willful, deliberate, reckless, and disrespectful to self-represented litigant.

At the same time, I am very concern to have the investigations perform on Hackensack; the Judge already demonstrated bias on my case. I would like to have the investigation perform in a different county.

Thank you for your attention in this matter. If you have questions, need more information or documents, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Laura E. Ordaz Moreno

Laura Emilia Ordaz Moreno
P.O. Box 155
Vernon, NJ 07462
(973) 823-0144
(973) 862-3685

On August 9, 2013, Ms. Sauter told the court that I have never signed the medical releases form, Ms. Sauter had requested from me. I signed those forms on March 25, 2013.

On other letters Ms. Sauter makes allusion of communications with the court without my knowledge.

More samples of Ms. Sauter's false statements to the court that can be supported with documents or recordings are:

1. On letter dated February 25, 2013 and on brief dated November 7, 2012 contradicts no having Dr. Rao report as stated on August 9, 2013.
2. On dated February 28, includes a report from the police, and does not say Christina had suicide attempt as stated by Ms. Sauter on her march 4, 2013 letter, besides did she confirmed it with the police records system did she ask for a copy of the phone call before making this statement.
3. On letter dated March 18, 2013, Ms. Sauter mentions the days my daughter has been late or absent from school while in my care, did she investigated the reasons, did she contacted the school or pediatrician to have an explanation before making this statement.
4. On letter dated March 22, 2013, Ms. Sauter mentions my past history and behavior, I would like to know what actions specifically is she basing on for this statement. On this same letter, Ms. Sauter instigates the judge to believe that I was told by the Judge on the record no to go to my daughter's former school; this is not true I have the transcript. On one of her letter to the Judge, Ms. Sauter tells the Judge that I was "violating the spirit of the Judge's orders".
5. A letter dated May 3, 2013 Ms. Sauter communicates to the Judge. That Ms. Sauter was told I have a mental disorder, and that a person supervising the visitations is concerned about my mental health, where is the document from Ms. Dixon with her statement. I asked information about this statement and I have received nothing.
6. On June 3 Ms. Sauter communicates to the judge that there have been issues with every visit causing unnecessary trauma to Christina, there is not report from the person supervising my visitations, Ms. Sauter is telling the judge her version as if she was there never said that her client told her.
7. Ms. Sauter told the Judge that I canceled visitations with my daughter without any explanation. Ms. Sauter received a fax from the person doing the visitations on May 30, 2013, explaining that I had a car accident.

Evidence enumerated and explained:

1. Letter to Ms. Sauter to the Judge presiding my case:

Page 1, fn1, 4th: "I have reached out to the DYFS worker involved in this matter who has advised me that the report has already been submitted to the court in this matter".

On April 12, 2013 I requested to the Judge again, to provide me with a copy of the report from DYFS, (now known as DCPD). Ms. Sauter told the Judge that it was a fabrication of mine to prevent the continuation of the trial. Prior to this day, Ms. Sauter mentioned she had no knowledge of said report. The Judge asked her if she knew anything about the report and Ms. Sauter denied knowing anything.

2. Letter from Ms. Sauter to the Judge on her claims of me having a mental issue.

Page 1, fn1, 1st: "...mental health issues on the part of the plaintiff, as indicated to me directly at our last Court appearance...".

I received help from a non-profit organization in Hackensack due to my low income, Ms. Sauter instigated the Judge in to believing I had a mental issue; to the point that Ms. Sauter directly told the Judge that she had knowledge of my "mental disorder". I requested information, and an explanation on the basis of Ms. Sauter claims, Ms. Sauter once again, denied any knowledge of any letter she sent to the Judge claiming any mental issue.

Ms. Sauter told the Judge I was teaching my daughter to be "sick", by playing a game called "sick baby". On March 15, 2013 and her closing arguments. Ms. Sauter told the Judge that my daughter had testified on March 25, 2013 about the "sick baby" game. I am enclosing part of the transcript from my daughter's interview with the Judge. This game is a beautiful memory my daughter has about me, Ms. Sauter tried to stain it. Ms. Sauter is not a psychiatrist, psychologist, or therapist to make this kind of conclusions.

3. On August 9, 2013, Ms. Sauter told the Judge I never signed medical release.

My daughter was wrongfully ripped away from me, and I couldn't do much about it, just to request to have her in therapy, and provide medical attention for her. I consent to sign the releases on March 25, 2013. My daughter to this day, has no therapist, or pediatrician. On March 12, 2013, the Judge gave temporary sole custody to my daughter's abuser, her father. The Judge told him specifically, that the order was issued so her father could take her to the doctor; furthermore, I signed release consents to every doctor, therapist or person attending my child for her father to obtain information, and documents years ago. I believe this is a bogus claim to cover defendant's neglecting to provide medical services for my daughter. Ms. Sauter, claiming I never provided reports from my daughter's first psychiatrist, Dr. Rao. I am providing a letter from Ms. Sauter, dated February 25, 2013, and a request from defendant to Dr. Rao.

4. Ms. Sauter has instigated the Judge to believe my daughter had a suicidal attempt. Ms. Sauter sent a letter to the Judge dated March 4, 2013. Including the police report dated February 28, 2013. Ms. Sauter's obligation was to investigate before making this allegation. My daughter is scare of her father. I gave the Judge a CD with recording where my daughter's father admitted abusing them. I have a restraining order against him for assaulting me and my oldest daughter sixteen years old at that time. At this time, he is still abusing her; nobody has done nothing for my child.

5. On March 12, 2013, the Judge removed my daughter from my custody. A therapist that my daughter's father was paying, testified several falsehood against me, I have recording of every day we went to see this therapist, but the Judge believe her without giving me an opportunity to show him the recordings. This judge told me to do not take possession of her, until I hear from DCPD or him. By 2:45 p.m. I received a e-mail removing my daughter from me. The Judge never told me to do not go to her school; I am enclosing part of a transcript of this day. In

almost every letter Ms. Sauter tells the Judge I went to my daughter's school "violating the spirit of his court order". The Judge removed my child, and placed her on the hands of her abuser.

I was devastated, I went to her school to beg them to wait for DCPD, so they could do the investigations before removing my little girl. For the school she was transported by a police officer to the police station, from there she was sent to the hospital having a panic attack, I needed to see her, I needed to tell her I never abandoned her. Ms. Sauter told the Judge that I went to the hospital, against the Judge's orders, nobody knew my daughter was going to the hospital, how did I violated the Judge orders? This letter is dated June 7, 2013.

I have many letters with Ms. Sauter's false and misleading statements, for this reason, I am very respectfully, requesting an investigation, resulting in a sanction to Ms. Sauter. I am planning to report this Judge, he had enough evidence to return my child back to me after he discovered he had made a mistake. The Judge and Ms. Sauter took advantage of me no having a lawyer.

Once again thank you very much for your attention

LUISA E. OROZCO MORALES